

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JOHN ARMSTRONG, et al.,

No. C 94-2307 CW

Plaintiffs,

v.

ORDER RE:  
SUPPLEMENTAL  
BRIEFING

ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER, et al.,

Defendants.

\_\_\_\_\_ /

On June 7, 2007, the Court will hear argument on Plaintiffs' motion that the Court request a three-judge panel be convened to consider limiting the prison population of inmates with disabilities pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3626(a)(3). In preparation for that hearing the Court now orders the parties to file supplemental briefing addressing the factual basis for their arguments in support of or opposition to the motion. In particular, the parties should address the following issues, to the extent the information is available: (1) the number of class

1 members broken down by type(s) of disability, location and  
2 administrative classification; (2) the number and location of  
3 accessible beds and other necessary accommodations for each type of  
4 disability available in the various levels of administrative  
5 classifications, (3) statistics on the prevalence of ADA and  
6 Section 504 violations, (4) a summary of past, current and planned  
7 remedial efforts, (5) specific examples, if any, of the effect of  
8 crowding on ADA and Section 504 compliance, and (6) barriers to the  
9 implementation of the remedial orders thus far in this case.

10 Plaintiffs shall file a supplemental brief of twenty-five  
11 pages or less in support of their motion by May 9, 2007.

12 Defendants shall file a supplemental brief of twenty-five pages or  
13 less in opposition by May 21, 2007. Plaintiffs supplemental reply  
14 of ten pages or less, if any, shall be due by May 28, 2007.

15  
16 IT IS SO ORDERED.

17  
18 Dated: 4/27/07



19 CLAUDIA WILKEN  
20 United States District Judge  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28